



Date: 10th June 2025

For:

Potential Participants – Public Tender 14/2025 – for Planning, Design, Engineering and Consulting Services for Marine Structures and Auxiliary Facilities as Part of the Marina Development at Reading

Subject: Notice No. 2 in Public Tender 14/2025 – Answers to Clarification Questions

Notice No. 1 – published May 15th, 2025 - includes Questions and Answers Nos. 1 -23.

No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
24.	Document A: Invitation to Submit Proposals, clause 4.11.2	Is it necessary for the winning bidder, assuming it is a foreign company, to be registered in Israel, provided he has a local representative?	No it isn't necessary. Please work in accordance with the tender documents.
25.	Document C, Appendix A - SOW: clause 5.1	Does the Israeli engineer who signs the building permit have to be a "certified marine engineer", in particular if the documents he signs include work related to the breakwater?	Please note SOW clause 5.2.7 - Building Permit Plan(s).
26.	Document C, Appendix A: SOW clause 5.2.3 and Notice No. 1	We note below the reply to Question 1 in Notice No. 1: <i>"The only services that the bidder should consider are "Structural Engineering Consultant (Israel)" and "Health & Safety (offshore) Consultant" and "Corrosion Control Consultant" (if needed)."</i> However, clause 5.2.3 Feasibility Study in Scope of Work refers to environmental studies. Please clarify: 1) If all environmental work will be done by one of Atarim's consultants.	1) All environmental consultancy services will be done by Atarim's Consultant(s). 2) See answer 1) above and the answer in Notice No. 1, answer No. 1.





No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
		2) If the selected consultant need only incorporate this work into its tender documents and permit, or if some environmental work is to be done by the selected consultant, and that they will need to select an environmental consultant.	
27.	Notice No. 1, Q & A No. 5 and No. 11	1) We note the reply to Question 5 in Notice No. 1. However, it is still not clear to us what the extent of work will be involved for the selected consultant to coordinate and oversee the work of other consultants who are working for Atarim. For example, if the selected consultant will be responsible for all coordination of the work of other consultants for which he does not have a contract with, and this includes incorporation of their work into the tender documents prepared by the consultant there will be a significant amount of work compared to if the selected consultant need not incorporate the work of other consultants into his tender documents. Without a clear definition of this responsibility, Atarim risks receiving bids from various bidders which are based on a different understanding and different level of effort. We kindly request further clarification in this regard.	1) Please refer to Document C – The Agreement, Appendix D – “Tentative Project Organization Chart” showing Atarim’s Consultants. The Consulting Firm shall incorporate the recommendations of Atarim’s Consultants into his Services as described in the SOW. Particular reference is made, inter alia, to SOW clause 5.2.5 - Consultation and Coordination. 2) See answer 3) below. 3) If one or more of Atarim’s Consultant(s) is/are tardy, and as a result the Consulting Firm can demonstrate that (part of) his Services are delayed, then the Project Management will recommend to Atarim not to charge Liquidated Damages. However, the Consulting Firm shall complete on time the part(s) of the Services which are





No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
		<p>2) Furthermore, please clarify if the selected consultant or Atarim is responsible for insuring that Atarim's sub-consultants deliver their documents according to the schedule.</p> <p>3) If this is the selected consultant's responsibility and if he has to incorporate their work into his deliverables, we again would note that in such a case it is neither fair nor reasonable for Atarim to assess liquidated damages on the selected consultant and again request that this provision be dropped, as a consultant cannot be held liable for work by other consultants over whom he has no control. We note for example that the evaluation and design of the quays and breakwater will depend on geotechnical analyses being performed by one of Atarim's consultants, and if there is a delay in performance of these analyses there will invariably be a delay in the design of the quays and breakwater, for which the selected consultant will not be at fault. We therefore again kindly request that Atarim re-consider our request to drop the liquidated damages clause.</p>	not delayed due to one or more of Atarim's Consultant(s).
28.	Document B1 – Proposal of	Note 2 below the table states "The Bidder shall enter the quantity for each BoQ item in Column D as "For Information	1) The Price Proposal is a <u>fixed amount</u> which shall include all the Services as described in the



ميناء يافا
נמל יפו
Jaffa Port

OLD JAFFA
יפו העתיקה

התחנה
תחנת כלי יפוא



ידיד המדורח
YARD HAMIDRACH





No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
	Price and Quantities	Only". On the other hand Note 3 says "The Bidder shall enter Fixed Rates (column E) and Fixed Amounts (column F) for each BoQ item, i.e., the rates and amounts are not variable." In light of the above please confirm if the intent is that this be a time and hourly rate Contract, and why the quantity is identified as being "For Information Only".	SOW. The fixed amount shall be inserted in line 5 of the table. 2) The Price Proposal is not based on hourly rates. 3) The Bidder is requested to insert the hours and rates for each of the items in the table " For Information Only ". This provides Atarim information re. the breakdown of the total Consideration in Document B1-Bid and information if " Additional Services " are requested as per Section 3.8 of Document C - The Agreement.
29.	SOW - Annexes	1) One of the annexes shows up to ca. 1,000 m of new breakwaters. While we understand that this is just a concept, can Atarim advise if such a concept is permissible. 2) Also advise if there are any other statutory restrictions from the standpoint of expanding the marina to optimize its capacity. 3) Also are there any legal, riparian rights or concession boundaries, either along shore or offshore that will limit the development geometry?	1) The boundaries of the project are indicated in the Local Outline Plan. See SOW chapter 4 " Local Outline Plan (Excerpts) " and Annex 9.1 " Reference Documents (1) " item 13. 2) The SOW describes the boundaries of the project. Particularly, please note chapter 4 – Local Outline Plan. 3) See the above answers.
30.	SOW - General	How much upland area is available to support the marina and what are those boundaries?	See the above answers to question no. 4. SOW Figure 5 shows the marina and land areas.





No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
31.	SOW - General	What boater services are desired in the marina, i.e. fuel dock, boatyard, boat repair, restaurant, shopping village, boater convenience, customs office and quarantine, etc.	The Consulting Firm shall give its' recommendations in accordance with the SOW, particularly for the marine areas. Buildings, restaurants, shopping village, etc. located on shore are excluded from the SOW.
32.	Document C, Appendix A, SOW clause 5.2 Phase B	Phase B (Optional) identifies "upgrade and retrofit of breakwaters (as needed)." We further understand that Atarim wishes to minimize any repair/retrofit in Phase A. But nevertheless, it is likely that some work will be required and in order to verify whether no or a small amount of work is required to breakwaters as part of Phase A it is likely that physical model testing and possibly some design work will be required to verify and implement the proposed solution. Therefore, in our view some of the Phase B work cannot be optional and we believe the Schedule and Bid Form should be adjusted accordingly. Please advise.	1) The Consulting Firm shall propose its' recommendations. 2) Appendix C - Preliminary Project Time Schedule - is "Preliminary", and some adjustments can be proposed for approval by Atarim. 3) For avoidance of doubt, the overall Project Time Schedule shall not exceed the indicated 30 months.
33.	Document C, Appendix A, SOW clause 5.2.19 Tender Documents	Please clarify what is meant by "D&C".	Please note SOW chapter 2 – Definitions, Abbreviations, Acronyms. D&C means "Design and Construct".
34.	Document B1 (Bid) and Appendix E to Document C	1) The reply to Question 9 in Notice No. 1 is not understood. If payments are to be made according to the Milestone Schedule then why is an "Amount"	1) Atarim wishes to avoid distribution or allocation of costs unevenly, with the greater proportion at the beginning of





No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
	(Milestone Schedule) and Notice No. 1	<p>being requested in the Bid? It would seem that only a total amount (for all tasks combined) and unit rates would be needed. Notwithstanding the above we consider that it should be up to the Bidder to provide his estimate of the fee breakdown by task, as is being requested. For sake of discussion assume that a Bidder's total fee is \$1,000,000, and in the Bid it is broken down as follows:</p> <p>1.1-\$75,000 1.2-\$75,000 2.1-\$125,000 2.2-\$175,000 2.3-\$100,000 3.1-\$100,000 3.2-\$150,000 3.3-\$125,000 4.1-\$50,000 4.2-\$25,000</p> <p>Our understanding according to the reply to Question 9 is that when the selected consultant completes each of the above milestones his payments will be as follows, assuming he expends the full fee allocated to each task:</p> <p>1.1- \$30,000 1.2- \$30,000 2.1- \$150,000 2.2- \$170,000 2.3- \$100,000</p>	<p>the process. Therefore, Appendix E – Payment Milestones - is included as part of the Agreement.</p> <p>2) Atarim decided to amend some of the percentages in Appendix E – Payment Milestones. See attached amended Appendix E.</p> <p>3) The payments will made in accordance with Appendix E – Payments Milestones - as amended in Notice No. 2 and in accordance with the relevant provisions in Document C – The Agreement.</p> <p>4) See above answers to question no. 3.</p>



ميناء يافا
נמל יפו
Jaffa Port

OLD JAFFA
יפו העתיקה

התחנה
תחנת כלי יפוא



ידיד המדורח
YARD 11 AMBROACH





No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
		<p>3.1- \$150,000</p> <p>3.2- \$170,000</p> <p>3.3- \$100,000</p> <p>4.1 + 4.2- \$100,000</p> <p>Please confirm that this is the intent and if so why? Atarim is asking Bidders to plan and estimate the work required to perform the project, but then seems to be ignoring this in establishing payment schedules according to the milestone schedule.</p> <p>2) Furthermore, we believe the 3% allocated for Milestones 1.1 and 1.2, is very low considering all the data that the selected consultant must become familiar with, and the requirement to develop a feasibility report for the marina and a breakwater evaluation report, which presumably will require preliminary cost estimates and schedules.</p> <p>3) In addition, does the above mean that if the selected consultant spends \$75,000 as opposed to \$30,000 to complete Tasks 1.1 and 1.2 he will be compensated for the additional \$45,000 for each task at a later date, according to the milestone payment schedule? We kindly request that Atarim reconsider its answer to this question in light of the above.</p>	



No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
		4) Also, in light of the prior answer to Question 9 please confirm whether the consultant's budget will be limited by task or per the total project fee.	
35.	SOW, Annex 9.4 - Valery Kagan Survey Reports	1) Please advise if any of the recommended repairs or any other repairs to the breakwater have been carried out. 2) We also note that the Valery Kagan reports and sketches do not provide any information regarding rock weights, yet they refer to Valery Buslov underwater inspection reports. Please advise if these reports are available and can be provided, as we believe there may be some information there regarding rock weights. In order to assess the ability of the existing breakwater to resist wave loading the rock weights need to be known. Otherwise, conservative assumptions will need to be made which will increase the likelihood of major repairs or replacement being required.	1) This information is not known. 2) The weights of the rocks in the breakwaters are provided in SOW Annex 9.4 – Reference Documents (4) – Additional Data by IEC.
36.	Document B1 – Proposal of Price and Quantities	Please advise regarding the form of invoices and backup required as well as which staff Atarim will and will not pay for, if any. For example, will Atarim pay for administrative staff who will be assisting in preparing reports and making submissions?	1) The Bidder shall include all and any expenses (including but not limited to administrative staff, overhead expenses, etc.) and all that is necessary to undertake and complete the Services as described in the SOW.



No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
			2) Please note the answers to question no. 3 above.
37.	Document C, Appendix A, SOW clause 5.2.9.2	For the types of vessels that berth at a marina we would not typically perform Fast Time Ship Maneuvering Simulations (Numerical Desktop) nor Real Time Simulations (in a Laboratory). Please confirm that Atarim's intent is that navigation simulations be performed as opposed to simply following published recommendations. If navigation simulations are required please advise if Fast Time is acceptable or if Real Time is required. We would note that we are not aware of any marina project in which Real Time simulations were performed.	The Consulting Firm shall give its' recommendations in accordance with the SOW.
38.	Notice No. 1, Responses to Questions 2, 3 and 4	1) The responses to Questions 2, 3 and 4 state that the selected consultant shall submit the relevant budget proposals for physical model testing if it is required. Please confirm that the relevant budget proposal includes both the budget for the laboratory that will be performing the testing and for the selected consulting firm to prepare the request for proposal, evaluate proposals, make recommendations to Atarim regarding the best proposal, witnessing the testing, reviewing the laboratory's report and preparing recommendations based on the results of the testing. In other words, please	1) The Consulting Firm shall submit recommendations about the necessity of 2D and/or 3D physical model testing studies. If such tests are recommended, the Consulting Firm shall submit for approval the relevant budget to carry out such tests, including, inter alia, the tasks described in this question. The budget shall include the costs of the laboratory and the Consulting Firm. If Atarim approves the proposed budget, The Consulting Firm shall be entitled to receive additional consideration in



ميناء يافا
נמל יפו
Jaffa Port

OLD JAFFA
יפו העתיקה

התחנה
תחנת כלי יפוא



ידי המדוח
YARD HAMODACH





No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
		<p>confirm that this work which would be required of the consulting firm should NOT be included in its Bid, because at this stage it is very difficult to estimate the hours required (not knowing if testing will be required and the extent of testing) and different bidders may make vastly different assumptions which could have a significant effect on their bids. Hence Atarim would not be comparing price proposals from competing bidders on an equivalent basis.</p> <p>2) Furthermore, it is possible that two sets of physical model testing may be required, one for the solution to accommodate 300 berths, and one for a final optimal solution.</p>	<p>accordance with clause 3.8 of Document C , The Agreement, to cover the costs of the 2D and/or 3D physical model testing studies.</p> <p>2) The Consulting Firm shall submit its' recommendations for approval by Atarim.</p>
39.	Document C, Appendix A- Scope of Work, Clause 5.2.13.8- Breakwaters- Demolition, Removals and/or Restoration	Please advise if there are currently any existing facilities in the power plant cooling water basin that will remain and that require protection from wave impact, as this will likely affect the extent of demolition of the existing breakwater that will be permitted, and this may limit the viable alternatives that can be considered for the breakwater.	The Consulting Firm shall investigate and submit its' recommendations.
40.	Document C, Appendix A- Scope of Work, Clause 5.2.1- Methodology	1) Please advise under which activity in the Preliminary Project Time Schedule the report on the three (3) alternatives is intended to be included, and whether the Methodology is intended	1) The Report on the three (3) alternatives is related to item A.1 of the Preliminary Project Time Schedule. The Methodology as mentioned in SOW clause 5.2.1.





No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
	and Clause 8.6-Three (3) alternatives....., Preliminary Project Time Schedule; Bid	to be part of this report or part of some other deliverable. 2) Furthermore, please advise in which task(s) of the Bid it should be included as far as allocation of hours (i.e., 1.1 or 2.1 and 3.1)?	can be submitted as a separate Report and shall be submitted early during the Project. 2) The Consulting Firm shall make its' own assessments.
41.	Preliminary Project Time Schedule; Bid	1) Please advise for planning purposes if the tender documents should assume just a draft submission and then a final submission incorporating Atarim's comments or if an interim submission(s) (say 60 or 70%) should also be considered. 2) Furthermore, please advise how much time we should consider for Atarim's review of our submissions.	1) The Consulting Firm shall submit its' recommendations. For Atarim both procedures are acceptable. 2) "Feedback" is described in Document C, The Agreement, clause 4.2. In addition, please note the answers to Question No. 27 above.
42.	Document C- The Agreement, Clause 3.6-Final Report and Final Report Meeting	Clause 3.6 makes reference to a "Final Report (as set out in Appendix A)", however we could find no such reference to a Final Report" in Appendix A. Please advise in which clause of Appendix A it is referred to.	By final report, Atarim means the full and final documents as set in the SOW, follow Atarim's approval.
43.	Notice No. 1, Response to Question 10	1) We note the Response to Question 10, however, clause 4.3 of the Revised version of the Agreement dated 15 May 2025 does not appear to reflect the answer to the question, i.e., with respect to the amount of the invoice which can be raised following Atarim approval of a submission. 2) We further request Atarim to confirm that "Atarim approval" means a check	1) Please note the answers to Question No. 34 above. 2) Please note the answer to Question No. 41 above.





No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
		of the submission to verify that it is complete, rather than a detailed review, and to kindly advise how long the "Atarim approval" will take following the selected consultant's submission.	
44.	Document C, Appendix A, SOW clause 5.1	The referenced clause discussed three (3) alternatives for the marina. Please advise if three (3) alternatives (3) are also to be evaluated for the breakwater, e.g., three (3) different armor unit types.	SOW clause 5.1 refers to three (3) alternative solutions for the marina <u>only</u> . However, the Consulting Firm is free to propose alternative solution(s) for the breakwaters (if deemed needed and if feasible) in consultation with Atarim and/or Atarim's consultant(s).
45.	Page 1 of 101 of Revised Version of Tender 14/2025 dated 15 May 2025	We kindly request a 1-month extension for submission of the proposal documents to July 31, 2025 , and a 1-month extension for submission of questions to June 29, 2025 . The proposed dates are based on receiving Atarim's response to this question on or before Monday, June 2, 2025. If Atarim requires additional time to respond to this question, we respectfully request that those additional days be added to the extension period. We appreciate your consideration of this request.	The submission dates are amended as follows: a) Clarification questions may be submitted until Sunday, June 29th, 2025, at 16:00 Israel time . b) The final deadline for submitting proposals is Thursday, July 21st, 2025, at 12:00 Israel time .
46.	Document B1 – Bid - Proposal of	It is determined in the column C that the Unit is based on "hour". We request that the unit to be based on "person-month"	The request is rejected. In addition, please note the answers to question No. 28 above.



ميناء يافا
נמל יפו
Jaffa Port

OLD JAFFA
יפו העתיקה

התחנה
תחנת כלי יפוא



ידיד המדורח
YARD HAMODRACH





No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
	Price and Quantities		
47.	Tender Document Form <i>Attorney Confirmation</i>	Please confirm whether the Attorney Confirmation should be based and registered in Israel, or in the country where the Bidder or the lead member of the Association is registered.	The attorney confirmation can be by an Israeli attorney or a foreign one.
48.	Appendix A - SOW section 5.2	Are there any social impact assessments carried out for the project sites?	Your question isn't clear and therefore can't be answered.
49.	Appendix A - SOW section 5.1 p.15	It was stated in the document that <i>"Attention should be given, inter alia, to the coastal research reports by H.R. Wallingford Ltd."</i> In order to better understand the project and the work already carried out, would it be possible to receive PDF copies of the relevant reports?	The relevant reports by H.R. Wallingford Ltd. are included in SOW Chapter 9, Annex 9.1 – Reference Documents (1).
50.	Appendix A - SOW Section 5	Does the scope of work refer to the existing Reading basin or as well expanding the marina northwards?	Please note the answer to Question No. 29 above.
51.	Appendix A - SOW Section 9.4 Reference Documents	Is there a soil exploration report and foundation recommendations available?	Some soil boring logs are found in SOW Annex 9.4 – Reference Documents (4), Additional Data by IEC. The Consulting Firm shall check foundation issues with Atarim's geotechnical consultant.
52.	Request for Clarification p.1	We kindly request an extension of the time limit for submitting questions/clarification to June 15th, 2025 .	See also Answer to Question No. 45 above.



No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
53.	Proposal Submission Deadline p.1	<p>We kindly request an extension of the Proposal submission date by 3 weeks from the 30th of June therefore extending the submission date to the 21st of July 2025, due to the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coordinating with multiple parties, including our local partners, adds an additional layer of complexity to the preparations. As the proposals need to be submitted in hard copy, this adds an additional complexity to the submission, requiring additional time and preparations. 	See also Answer to Question No. 45 above.
54.	Document C – The Agreement - Appendix B – Insurance, Clause 2.3	Our current professional indemnity insurance (PII) policy provides €1,000,000 per occurrence and €3,000,000 aggregate. We intend to upgrade to the required USD \$5,000,000 coverage prior to contract award. Please confirm if this is acceptable.	Professional Liability Insurance - The limit of liability of 5,000,000 USD for any one occurrence and in the aggregate is acceptable, according to the original tender conditions.
55.	Appendix A - SOW Clauses 5.1, 5.2.11– 5.2.13	Section 5.1 refers to a Preliminary Design Study, while sections 5.2.11 to 5.2.13 specify detailed drawings and BoQ's. Please confirm whether the design level expected is Preliminary (Class 3) or Detailed (Class 2).	SOW Section 5.1 states that the Preliminary Design Study shall include three (3) alternative solutions for the Client's evaluation. After selecting the preferred solution, detailed drawings, specifications, Cost Estimates, BoQ's, tender documents, etc. shall be provided. All relevant documents and deliverables shall comply with the instructions in the SOW.



ميناء يافا
נמל יפו
Jaffa Port

OLD JAFFA
יפו העתיקה

התחנה
תרבות בילוי ופנאי



ידיד המדורח
YARDO HAMDORACH





No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
			Although the SOW does not mention Class 3 (Preliminary Design Level) and/or Class 2 (Detailed Design Level), the required Services are clearly defined in the SOW.
56.	Appendix A - SOW Clause 5.2.7	Please confirm whether the building permits referenced in clause 5.2.7 relate only to marine works, as the SOW excludes terrestrial components.	The Building Permit relates to one (1) document comprising marine and land works. The Consulting Firm is responsible for the marine component of the Building Permit only and shall coordinate its' Services with Atarim's consultant(s) such as, inter alia, the project's architect.
57.	Appendix A - SOW Clause 5.2.10	Are ROVs mandatory for underwater inspection, or can qualified divers with video/photo documentation be used instead?	ROV's are not mandatory.
58.	Appendix A - SOW 5.2.23	Is the Consultant responsible for delivering a full Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), or only for providing support to Atarim's Environmental Consultant?	Please note SOW Annex 9.1 – Reference Documents (1) – Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in Hebrew. The Consulting Firm shall study the EIA and shall coordinate and optimize its' Services in consultation with Atarim's Environmental Consultant.
59.	Appendix A - SOW 5.2.26	Clause 5.2.26 mentions integration of marine and terrestrial plans. Please confirm whether Atarim is responsible for producing the final comprehensive plan,	The project's architect, acting as Atarim's consultant, prepares the comprehensive plan. The Consulting firm shall provide the





No.	Ref. Clause	Question	Answer
		with Consultant's support limited to coordination and interface inputs.	marine components related to the comprehensive plan and shall coordinate and work together with Atarim's consultant(s) to achieve an integrated, comprehensive plan. All as described in the SOW, including, inter alia, SOW clause 5.2.26 Terrestrial Structures.
60.	Appendix A - SOW	Please confirm whether a BIM model is required as part of the deliverables for the marine works design.	Please note SOW Chapter 3: Standards, Codes of Practice, Guidelines. A BIM model might be required, depending on the advice of Atarim's Consultants, such as the Architect and Urban Planner.
61.	Appendix A - SOW Clause 5.2.10	Is a formal due diligence or condition assessment report expected for existing marine structures, or only visual inspection and reporting?	The Consulting Firm shall check and submit its' recommendations.
62.	Document C – The Agreement, Appendix E – Payment Milestones	What is the expected frequency of the supreme supervision visits on site?	The frequency of the supreme supervision site visits on site depends, inter alia, on the scope of the construction work and construction time schedule that the Consulting Firm recommends. The Consulting Firm shall make its' own assessments about the expected frequency of the supreme visits on site.

This notice constitutes one and inseparable part of the tender documents and must be attached to the bid in the tender, signed by the bidder. Failure to attach the document to such as proposal may disqualify it.



Attached:

Appendix E – Payments Milestones (amended 25/05/2025). Refer to Answer to Question No. 34 above.

date, stamp + bidder's signature

Appendix E

Payment Milestones (amended 25/05/2025)

1	PRELIMINARY PLANNING, DESIGN, ENGINEERING & CONSULTANCY SERVICES	
1.1	Submission of Feasibility Study Report of the Project, incorporating recommendations and/or instructions by the Employer's Architects and Consultants and the relevant Local Authorities and Stakeholders and including Project Design Schedule.	5%
1.2	Submission of Report about the condition of the existing breakwaters, including program for (field) surveys to be conducted and incorporating recommendations in case rehabilitation and/or retrofit and/or upgrading and/or expansion is deemed necessary.	5%
2	MARINA – PLANNING, DESIGN, ENGINEERING & CONSULTANCY SERVICES	
2.1	Completion of Preliminary Design Study Report including Construction Cost Estimate and Application for Building Permit.	15%
2.2	Completion of Tender Documents for Construction including Invitation to Bid documents, Threshold Requirements, Specifications, Drawings, Bill of Quantities, Construction Cost Estimate, Construction Schedule.	15%
2.3	Provide Professional Services during construction stage(s).	10%
3	BREAKWATERS – PLANNING, DESIGN, ENGINEERING & CONSULTANCY SERVICES	
3.1	Completion of Preliminary Design Study Report including Construction Cost Estimate and Application for Building Permit.	15%
3.2	Completion of Tender Documents for Construction including Invitation to Bid documents, Threshold Requirements, Specifications, Drawings, Bill of Quantities, Construction Cost Estimate, Construction Schedule.	15%
3.3	Provide Professional Services during construction stage(s).	10%
4	SUPREME SUPERVISION	
4.1	Conduct Supreme Supervision visits at the project construction site + Submission of Supreme Supervision Reports.	10%

TENDER 14/2025

For planning, design, engineering, and consulting services related to marine structures and auxiliary facilities as part of the development of the marina at Reading

Further to the tender process referenced above, Atarim in Tel Aviv Coastline Touristic Sites Development Company in Tel Aviv Yafo Ltd. (hereinafter: “the Company”) has decided upon an **extension** of the final date for the Clarification questions submission to Sunday – **29.06.2025** at **16:00** exactly.

Also, the Company has decided upon an **extension** of the final date for submission of bids in the tender – to Thursday – **21.07.2025** at **12:00** exactly.

The tender documents as well as the clarification and amendment documents can be viewed at the website of the Company and/or the office of the Company.

Atarim in Tel Aviv